## Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
08/441,355	HOUGHTON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Mary K Zeman	1631	

	Mary K Zeman	1631		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) Mary K Zeman & MP WP Woodward (PTO).	(3) <u>6 lady</u> = Mor	iron		
(2) Alusa Harbin	(4)	t		
Date of Interview: 23 October 2002.				
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2)⊠ applicant's representativ	e]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: All pending				
Identification of prior art discussed: A				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.	g) was not reached. h)	□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The amendments file of 10/22/02 were discussed. The basis in the specification for said claims was discussed. The obp rejections will be re-assessed.				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sechecked).	eparate record of the substanc	ce of the intervie	w(if box is	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FOR MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW reverse side or on attached sheet.	/. (See MPEP Section 713.04 . MONTH FROM THIS INTER	). If a reply to th VIEW DATE TO	e last Oπice   FILE A	

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required